	ed States Patent	and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,815	04/08/2005	Moonhor Ree	1751-0380	4409
	ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. WINKLER, MELISSA A		EXAMINER	
			MELISSA A	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON	J DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, DC 20003	4134		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

÷.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/530,815	REE ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Melissa Winkler	1709
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	April 2005.	
·		is action is non-final.	·
· _	Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· ·	
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ad		by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☑ All b)  Some * c)  None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	•	Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been	n received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.
Attachmen	t(s)		
1) 🔀 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
· _	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application

 $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ 

.

.

.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, Claims 1 - 16, drawn to porous organosilicate polymer composite.

Group II, Claim(s) 17 - 18, drawn to a semiconductor device.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature, an organosilicate polymer composite formed from an organosilicate and polymer with a hydrolysable alkoxysilyl group, is not a special technical feature as it has been revealed by US 2001/0053840 to Ko et al. (Paragraphs 17 – 21).

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

With respect to the cyclic compounds used to prepare the branch portion of the radial pore-forming polymer, the applicants are advised to elect one of the following species: Formula 1A, 1B, 1C, or 1 D.

With respect to the radial pore-forming polymer, the applicants are advised to elect one of the following species: Formula 4, Formula 5, Formula 2, or Formula 3. Should the radial pore-forming polymer of Formula 4 be selected, the applicants are advised to select one species of X. Should the radial pore-forming polymer of Formula 5 be selected, the applicants are advised to elect one species of X and one species of R4. Should the radial pore-forming polymer of Formula 2 be selected, the applicants are advised to elect one species of X. Should the radial pore-forming polymer of Formula 3 be selected, the applicants are advised to elect one species of X and one species of R4.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

λ.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

No claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Winkler whose telephone number is (571)270-3305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30AM - 5PM E.S.T..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on (571)272-1197. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or £71-272-1000.

BETELHEM SHEWAREGED PRIMARY EXAMINER

MW November 1, 2007